

# Corrosion Severity Index (CSI) for Spectral Characterization of Corroded Steel and Iron Samples

Emma Hernández-Suárez<sup>1</sup>, Adrián Rodríguez-Molina<sup>1</sup>, Ámbar Pérez-García<sup>1</sup>, *Student Member, IEEE*, Julia Mirza-Rosca<sup>2,3</sup>, and José López<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract**—Metals in coastal regions are threatened by corrosion, underscoring the need for precise detection and monitoring methods. Traditional methods often faces limitations in terms of accuracy and applicability under diverse conditions. This study introduces the Corrosion Severity Index (CSI), an innovative spectral index for assessing corrosion in steel and iron structures. Several iron samples were placed in a salt spray chamber to generate different degrees of corrosion. The samples were analysed using hyperspectral cameras covering the visible near-infrared (VNIR) to the shortwave infrared (SWIR) spectrum. A Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) registration algorithm was employed to generate the full spectral signatures from 400 nm to 1700 nm for each pixel. The CSI combines four spectral bands (457.50 nm, 791.91 nm, 1305.08 nm, and 1442.60 nm) where a pixel value close to 0 represents the absence of corrosion, whereas a higher value indicates greater severity of corrosion. Based on the average CSI values, samples are classified into Grade A, B, C, or D which indicates the degree of corrosion. CSI demonstrates its ability to detect early-stage corrosion and has been evaluated for robustness across a variety of steel and iron samples in different environmental conditions. In addition, the performance of the CSI is validated by comparing it with the previously published Corrosion Index (CI). CSI demonstrates a higher accurate ability to detect corrosion products and identify the degree of corrosion with a simplified approach. This index allows a balance between accuracy, low computational demands, and usability, providing an optimal solution for early diagnosis and proactive management of corrosion in coastal infrastructures.

**Index Terms**—hyperspectral imaging (HSI), spectral indices, corrosion, early-stage corrosion, multispectral camera.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE coastal environment is significantly impacted by corrosion, an inevitable consequence of metals in constant exposure to the salinity of seawater and other corrosive agents [1]–[3]. This phenomenon compromises the functionality and

This work was completed while Emma Hernández-Suárez and Adrián Rodríguez-Molina were beneficiary of a predoctoral grant given by the "Vicerrectorado de Investigación y Transferencia de la Universidad de las Palmas de Gran Canaria". Likewise, Ámbar Pérez-García have benefited from a predoctoral grant given by the "Agencia Canaria de Investigación, Innovación y Sociedad de la Información (ACIISI)" of the "Consejería de Universidades, Ciencia e Innovación y Cultura" during the research. We would also like to thank the TALENT-HEXPERIA project, under contract PID2020-116417RB-C42, for partly funding this research.

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Applied Microelectronics, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, 35001 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain (e-mail: ehernandez@iuma.ulpgc.es).

<sup>2</sup> Mechanical Engineering Department, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, 35001, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain (e-mail: julia.mirza@ulpgc.es).

<sup>3</sup> Transilvania University of Brasov, Materials Engineering, and Welding Department, 500036, Brasov, Romania.

safety of various steel and iron structures and artifacts, such as offshore platforms [4], ships [5], or bridges [6]. Effective corrosion monitoring and prediction are essential not only for ensuring the safety and integrity of these structures, but also for enhancing operational efficiency, achieving cost savings, and protecting the environment [7].

Several nondestructive techniques (NDT) have been developed to automate corrosion detection without damaging the material [8]. Traditional methods such as linear polarization resistance (LPR) [9], ultrasonic testing (UT) [10], [11], eddy current [12], electrical resistance (ER) [13], and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) [14]–[16] play a critical role in identifying corrosion. These techniques are often referred to as direct methods because they assess corrosion based directly on the physical or chemical effects that corrosion produces in the material [17]. However, they have significant limitations. The requirement for direct contact with the material limits their applicability for inspecting large surfaces or hard-to-reach areas. Additionally, these methods may find difficulties in detecting early stage or under thin coatings challenging, where initial signs are minimal.

In contrast, indirect methods are based on parameters that indirectly influence the corrosion process, such as temperature, molecular structure, texture, or surface colour. These methods include chemical analysis techniques such as Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) [18]–[20], Raman spectroscopy [21] and X-ray spectroscopy [22], which use the spectral properties of materials to obtain information about their composition and structure. Although these methods allow noncontact and accurate detection of corrosion, their range is generally confined to small areas, and in certain scenarios, their implementation in field operations can be challenging [23].

Instead, optical techniques include RGB, multispectral and hyperspectral sensors. Methods using RGB sensors measure the intensity of the primary colors (red, green, blue) and are mainly focused on the intensity, color, and texture characteristics of the metal. This method is simple and uses collected information that is usually processed by machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) algorithms to detect and quantify corrosion [24]–[27]. However, these algorithms generate a large number of false positives by misidentifying colours or textures similar to corrosion [28]. Furthermore, they do not facilitate early detection of this phenomenon, as their analysis is limited to what is visually observable.

Unlike RGB sensors, which are limited to three spectral channels, multispectral and hyperspectral sensors provide more detailed analysis by providing a high number of spectral bands. Specifically, hyperspectral sensors have the ability to scan a wide range of the electromagnetic spectrum, spanning the visible and near-infrared (VNIR) spectra, as well as the shortwave infrared (SWIR). This amount of information enables the production of images in which each pixel reflects a unique spectral signature, allowing the precise identification of the composition of surfaces and revealing details not visible to the naked eye. In contrast, multispectral sensors capture images in a narrower, yet specific, range of the electromagnetic spectrum, typically between four and ten bands. Although they provide fewer information than hyperspectral sensors, multispectral sensors offer simplified image acquisition through instantaneous capture (snapshot). This is in stark contrast to the linear scanning (pushbroom) approach common to hyperspectral imaging (HSI) [29], [30].

Multi- and hyperspectral technology offer a balance, allowing the analysis of large or small areas, without the need for direct contact, and without compromising accuracy. Although these techniques cannot probe under coatings, a detailed surface examination can reveal much about the underlying condition of the material.

Multi- and hyperspectral imaging, originally developed for remote sensing purposes [31]–[33], has found wide-ranging applications across diverse fields, including medicine [34], [35], food safety and quality [36], [37], and precision agriculture [38], [39]. The corrosion domain has benefited significantly from these technologies in recent years. The breakthrough came in 2016, when Simova and Rochefort [40] highlighted the potential of multispectral imaging in the identification of different materials and corrosion types. Subsequently, Rowley's thesis [41] and the study by Lavadiya et al. [42], have demonstrated the superiority in terms of accuracy of hyperspectral imaging over traditional RGB imaging in corrosion detection. This technique has been successfully applied in the analysis of corrosion on outdoor bronze sculptures [43] and in the development of hyperspectral imaging probes designed for inspections in dark and difficult to access areas [44], [45]. Advances by Yang et al. [46] in determining corrosion levels in carbon steel have demonstrated the precise ability of these technologies to differentiate between corrosion stages. In addition, molecular structure studies have facilitated the identification of specific corrosion products, allowing accurate assessment of steel condition [46], [47]. Finally, chloride ion (Cl<sup>-</sup>) concentration has emerged as a key indicator for corrosion detection in steel reinforcing bars [48].

These studies have largely employed ML and DL algorithms, which have proven to be a useful tool for analysing and processing data obtained from hyperspectral images. These algorithms facilitate the identification and classification of corrosion patterns, although, the efficiency of these methods also depends on the quality and quantity of the trained dataset.

Spectral indices are one of the most widely used approaches to multispectral and hyperspectral data management. A spectral index is a numerical value derived from mathematical operations performed on different bands of the electromagnetic

spectrum, used to highlight specific characteristics of a surface or object [49], [50]. These measures identify, characterize, and quantify features such as vegetation quality [51]–[53], soil moisture [54], or oil spills [55]. Spectral indices offer advantages in terms of lower computational costs compared to ML and DL algorithms, and do not require an extensive database for training. However, in certain applications, the limitations of spectral indices compared to ML and DL algorithms could be a challenge in terms of versatility. In the field of corrosion, the application of spectral indices has not been as widespread due to the complexity of the diversity of corrosion products and different types of steel. Jaime Zabalza et al. [56] developed a spectral index specifically aimed at this problem, the Corrosion Index (CI). Designed to evaluate corrosion in nuclear packages and focused on two types of steel (365L and 2205), the results of this index have been satisfactory and represent a promising advance. CI evaluates the spectral response obtained against a reference, corresponding to the spectral signature of an advanced corrosion state for the type of steel analysed. This comparison quantifies the differences using the Angular Cosine Distance (ACD) [57]. Subsequently, to refine this evaluation, the ACD values are adjusted using calibration parameters, empirically determined for each type of steel.

Corrosion represents a major challenge, especially in coastal environments where atmospheric conditions accelerate the deterioration of steel and iron structures. Accurate detection of affected areas is essential to implement effective maintenance measures, extend the lifespan of infrastructures, reduce costs, and ensure their functionality and safety. Although various noninvasive techniques have advanced corrosion monitoring, there is still a need for a method that combines accuracy, simplicity, processing speed and low computational demand. In this context, the Corrosion Severity Index (CSI) introduced by the authors emerges as an innovative tool designed to accurately and reliably assess the degree of corrosion of steel and iron. This index stands out for its simple approach that requires only four spectral bands, facilitating its use in multispectral cameras. The study methodology involves preparing iron samples, exposing them to different levels of corrosion in a salt spray chamber, and capturing images with hyperspectral cameras spanning the VNIR and SWIR ranges. A registration algorithm process these images to obtain a complete spectral signature. The CSI demonstrates its ability to detect corrosion in its early stages and its robustness by successfully identifying corrosion in different steel and iron types. Validation is performed by comparison with the CI index, showing improved accuracy regarding the diversity of corrosion products and providing a more detailed analysis of the corrosion degree. This research not only contributes to the field of corrosion monitoring with a revolutionary tool, but also establishes a solid foundation for future practical applications.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Steel and Iron Samples Preparation

For this study, two datasets have been developed. The first dataset, known as Salt Spray Chamber Samples (SSC



Fig. 1. Experimental setup for the salt spray chamber.

TABLE I  
SUMMARY OF SSC SAMPLES.

SSC Samples	Level	Exposure time (h)
Samples 1, 2, 3	Level 0	0
Samples 4, 5, 6	Level 1	1/2
Samples 7, 8, 9	Level 2	1
Samples 10, 11, 12	Level 3	24

Samples), was designed to corrode the plates in a controlled environment, allowing for validation of the spectral index. In contrast, the second dataset, Outdoor Conditions Samples (OC Samples), incorporates a variety of environmental conditions to assess the robustness and adaptability of the index under different real-world scenarios.

In order to obtain SSC Samples, twelve plates with iron (99.60% purity) were procured. The purity was obtained through initial characterization using an Oxford Instruments X-MET7000 Series fluorescence spectrometer [58]. These samples, measuring 100x100 mm, were subjected to a sandblasting process to eliminate any corrosion or surface imperfections. Samples 1, 2, and 3 were kept corrosion-free, designated as Level 0 samples. The remaining nine plates were exposed to 35°C temperature and 5% NaCl solution in a salt spray chamber (Fig. 1). Table I provides a summary of the iron samples and their exposure time in the salt spray chamber. Samples 4, 5, and 6 (Level 1) were subjected to 30-minute of exposure; samples 7, 8, and 9 (Level 2) were exposed for one hour; and samples 10, 11, and 12 (Level 3) were exposed for 24 hours. Fig. 2 shows the RGB images after this process.

Meanwhile, the OC Samples dataset comprises various types of steel and iron plates subjected to varying treatments and exposed to outdoor conditions. Table II displays the metal plates used, along with their respective metal type and dimensions.

Each plate was subjected to an initial sandblasting treatment. Following this, Samples 1, 2, and 3 were exposed to outdoor conditions for a duration of two weeks. Sample 1 did not receive any further treatment. For Sample 2 and Sample 3,




Fig. 2. RGB images of SSC Samples.

TABLE II  
CHARACTERISTICS OF OC SAMPLES.

OC Samples	Type of metal	Height x Width (mm)
Samples 1	Iron (99.40% purity)	150x150
Samples 2	Iron (99.40% purity)	150x150
Samples 3	Steel C-1020 [59]	180x80
Samples 4	Steel S355 [60]	150x75

seawater was poured daily into one half, whereas the other half was shielded with a protective cover. To document the changes over time, images were captured on a weekly schedule, that is, on days 0, 7, and 14 (Fig. 3).

Additionally, Sample 3 was subjected to hyperspectral imaging before the sandblasting treatment to study the behavior of the spectral index in the presence of mill scale; a layer of oxide on the surface of the steel during hot rolling (Fig. 4(a)). In this case, Sample 3 is referred to as Sample 3m. Finally, Sample 4 was placed on a platform in the middle of the sea for four months (Fig. 4(b)).

### B. Corrosion Severity Assessment of Metal Samples

Each metal sample is meticulously inspected and assessed, and a corrosion grade is assigned based on its condition according to the Swedish standard SIS 05 5900 [61]. This




Fig. 3. RGB images of OC Samples.




Fig. 4. RGB images of OC Samples.

classification relies on visual appearance and structural damage observed in the samples, following predefined criteria to ensure evaluation consistency.

- 1) Grade A: Sample without signs of corrosion, in pristine condition.
- 2) Grade B: Sample with superficial and scattered corrosion, without significant structural damage.
- 3) Grade C: Generalized corrosion present in the sample, but without the formation of deep cavities.
- 4) Grade D: Samples with advanced corrosion, including extensive structural damage.

In the SSC Samples dataset, the first three samples are classified as Grade A due to their pristine condition. Samples at level 1 and 2 are defined as Grade B. Although level 2 samples are exposed for an additional half-hour and show more corrosion, they still exhibit superficial corrosion with intact areas. Meanwhile, level 3 samples are classified as Grade C

TABLE III  
GRADES OF SSC SAMPLES.

SSC Samples	Level	Grade
Samples 1, 2, 3	Level 0	Grade A
Samples 4, 5, 6	Level 1	Grade B
Samples 7, 8, 9	Level 2	Grade B
Samples 10, 11, 12	Level 3	Grade C

TABLE IV  
GRADES OF OC SAMPLES.

OC Samples	Day	Grade
Samples 1	Day 0	Grade A
	Day 7	Grade B
	Day 14	Grade B
Samples 2	Day 0	Grade A
	Day 7	Grade B
	Day 14	Grade B
Samples 3	Day 0	Grade A
	Day 7	Grade B
	Day 14	Grade B
Samples 3m	-	Grade A
Samples 4	Day 210 (four months)	Grade D

due to generalized corrosion (Table III).

Similarly, the OC Samples dataset is classified (Table IV). Samples 1, 2, and 3 are categorised as Grade A before the corrosion process began, i.e., on day 0. Subsequently, on days 7 and 14, they are classified as Grade B; although the level of corrosion increases gradually, it remains superficial and not widespread. Sample 3m (with mill scale) is considered Grade A, and Sample 4 is assigned as Grade D due to its significant deterioration.

### C. Hyperspectral Measurements

Two hyperspectral Specim cameras have been employed: the FX10 and the FX17 [62], corresponding to VNIR and SWIR cameras, respectively. The main characteristics of these cameras are described in Table V. Both cameras utilize pushbroom technology.

TABLE V  
SPECIM FX10 AND FX17 MAIN CHARACTERISTICS.

	FX10	FX17
Spectral Range (nm)	400-1000	900-1700
Spectral Bands	224	224
Spatial Sampling (px)	1024	640
Spectral FWHM (nm)	5.5	8
Spectral Resolution (nm)	2.7	3.5

The images of the samples were acquired in the laboratory using a hyperspectral imaging acquisition platform (Fig. 5). Additionally, radiometric calibration in (1) was performed using a white and black references. For the white reference, a Spectralon Diffuse Reflectance Material [63] with a reflectance of 99% was used, whereas for the black reference, the camera lens was completely closed. Radiance, which is the measure of the amount of light reflected by the sample, was used in the calibration process. The value obtained based on (1) represents reflectance [64], which is the calibrated value obtained from the radiance, and it ranges from 0 to 1.




Fig. 5. Experimental setup for hyperspectral measurements [65]. (a) 3D model of the acquisition system. (b) Detailed view of the main components.

$$Reflectance = \frac{Radiance - Dark Reference}{White Reference - Dark Reference} \quad (1)$$

#### D. Hyperspectral Image Preprocessing

In this study, the importance of analyzing the VNIR and SWIR spectral ranges together is emphasized to obtain a comprehensive and accurate spectral signature of corroded surfaces. To achieve this, we employ the SIFT (Scale-Invariant Feature Transform) algorithm, which is widely recognized for its robustness in detecting and matching key points across images with varying conditions [66], [67]. The flowchart in Fig. 6 illustrates the sequential stages of SIFT's operation, from detecting scale-space extrema to generating unique descriptors for each key point. This process allows for the reliable identification of invariant features that remain stable across changes in scale, orientation, and lighting, ensuring precise image alignment. This approach is essential for accurately registering images from different spectral bands despite challenges like variations in brightness, scale, and similarities between features [68], [69].

To select the best matches, a geometric constraint based on the distance between matched features was applied along,




Fig. 6. Flowchart of the SIFT Algorithm.

in addition to the RANdom Sample Consensus (RANSAC) algorithm [70], [71]. This methodology was crucial in accurately aligning the images despite the challenges presented by low brightness, scale variation and similarity between different image features.

Special emphasis was placed on selecting 2D images for key point analysis, focusing on the bands with the highest correlation between the hyperspectral cameras used. This selection process significantly improved the results of the study, ensuring the precision and quality of the resulting spectral signature [72].

#### E. Corrosion Index Methodology

The CSI was developed from the SSC Samples dataset. This analytical process focused on spectral ranges and specific bands that are mostly influenced by corrosion, with the aim of covering the diversity of corrosion products and identifying common patterns associated with their progression. These observations are detailed in the Section III-A.

The CSI is obtained by a mathematical calculation described in (2), which involves four spectral bands able to distinguish different levels of corrosion. Each spectral band is represented as  $R_{\text{center}}$  wavelength, indicating its reflectance value.

$$CSI = \left( \frac{R_{790} - R_{450}}{R_{790} + R_{450}} + (R_{1340} - R_{1430}) \right) / R_{790} \quad (2)$$

This index allows a quantitative assessment at pixel level of the state of corrosion, facilitating a detailed analysis of its distribution and severity in the examined area. Values close to zero indicate absence of corrosion, whereas higher values signify an increasing presence of corrosion. In the datasets proposed in this study, the CSI values range from zero to five, without normalization.

To determine the overall corrosion degree of the sample, the CSI values are averaged for every pixel. From this result, the plate is classified into A, B, C, or D grade. This classification provides information on the condition of the metal without the need for additional qualitative evaluations.

An optical microscope was used to evaluate areas with minimal or no visible corrosion. This technique enabled a thorough examination at the microscopic level to detect early signs of corrosion that are not easily visible to the naked eye. Microscopic analysis was conducted on different areas of the same sample to confirm the presence of corrosion and to verify corresponding CSI values in these regions.

The CSI robustness was confirmed using the OC Samples dataset, which analysed steel and iron plates exposed to environmental and corrosion conditions. This step validated the applicability and reliability of the index in different contexts.

Finally, a comparison was carried out between the CSI and the CI. For this purpose, images from the SSC Samples dataset were used, and the methodology described in [56] was followed. To ensure a fair assessment, the CSI is normalised. This normalisation facilitates an accurate and direct comparison between the indices, where a value of 0 indicates no corrosion and a value of 1, the maximum level of corrosion detected.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Spectral Corrosion Characterization of Steel and Iron Samples

The formation of corrosion in steel and iron is manifested through the appearance of various corrosion products, which vary depending on the chemical reactions involved. These products corrosion presents different colors and spectral signatures. Inspired by the approach of Thomas De Kerf et al. [47], who investigated the materials present in corroded steel plates under similar conditions to this study, within a salt spray chamber, the presence of hematite, lepidocrocite, goethite, and magnetite was highlighted. It was observed that hematite and lepidocrocite predominate in the early phases of corrosion. However, after eight hours of exposure to the saline environment, a significant increase in the presence of goethite, identified by brownish tones, as well as magnetite, which presents a black color, was noted.

The present study has identified similar patterns. To analyze spectral signatures across corrosion levels, we calculated the mean and standard deviation of signatures for each level. The full spectral results obtained are illustrated in Fig. 7.

Iron plates without corrosion show spectral signatures with an ascending and almost linear trend. When the corrosion process began, areas with yellowish tones appear. These areas clearly present a change in the spectral signature, specifically in the visible spectrum, where the slope between 400 nm and 600 nm becomes steeper. In addition, a descending trend is observed starting at approximately 1200 nm.

Samples exposed for an additional 30 minutes (level 2) showed a similar color but with an increased density of corrosion. In these cases, the decay in the SWIR region was generally more abrupt compared to the initial samples.

In the samples exhibited for 24 hours in the salt spray chamber, two clearly differentiated zones appear, both in color




Fig. 7. Spectral signatures of steel under progressive corrosion: from pristine to advanced stages. The spectral bands employed in the spectral index are marked with dashed lines.

and spectral signature. One zone, with brown and dark orange tones (brown corrosion, level 3), shows a drastic change in the SWIR region. Between 1350 nm and 1450 nm, there is a further decrease in reflectance, even greater than in previous observations. The black zones (black corrosion, level 3) exhibit extremely low reflectance, practically close to zero.

The CSI employs four specific bands: 457.50 nm, 791.91 nm, 1305.08 nm, and 1442.60 nm. The relationship between the normalized difference of the 457.50 nm and 791.91 nm bands, in the visible and near-infrared regions, enables the detection of corrosion in yellowish, orange, and brown tones, characteristics of corrosion.

Additionally, the bands in the SWIR region (1305.08 nm and 1442.60 nm) show a more pronounced decline in reflectance as corrosion progresses, while in non-corroded areas, there is an increase in the spectral signature. This contrast makes these bands a strong indicator of corrosion levels. When no corrosion is present, this difference tends to be negative, which is counteracted by the previous normalized value between the 457.50 nm and 791.91 nm bands. This balance allows the CSI to reliably indicate corrosion, with values close to zero indicating no corrosion and higher values reflecting greater corrosion levels.

In order to incorporate corrosion products defined as black corrosion, which have very low reflectance across the spectrum, the entire index is divided by the 791.91 nm band. This adjustment amplifies the response for magnetite, yielding higher values where it is present.

The correlation coefficients between the CSI and the spectral bands were calculated to evaluate the relationship between the index and the reflectance values at different wavelengths. The results of the correlation analysis are shown in Table VI. These correlations demonstrate a strong relationship between the CSI and the reflectance values at the four spectral bands, with the strongest correlation observed for Band 1 (457.50 nm), indicating that the index accurately reflects spectral changes due to corrosion in these wavelengths.




Fig. 8. RGB images and CSI colour map of SSC Samples.

TABLE VI

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN THE CSI AND SPECTRAL BANDS.

Spectral Bands	Wavelength (nm)	Correlation Coefficient (CSI)
Band 1	457.50	-0.97
Band 2	791.91	-0.90
Band 3	1305.08	-0.86
Band 4	1442.60	-0.90

### B. Analysis of CSI

The CSI index is applied to the dataset of SSC Samples obtained with the salt spray chamber. The corrosion degree of each sample is determined by the CSI mean values ( $\overline{CSI}$ ). Boundaries defined visually determine the corrosion degree:

- Grade A:  $\overline{CSI} < 1$
- Grade B:  $1 \leq \overline{CSI} < 2$
- Grade C:  $2 \leq \overline{CSI} < 3$
- Grade D:  $\overline{CSI} \geq 3$

CSI values are translated into colours as shown in Fig. 8

TABLE VII

RESULTS OF SSC SAMPLES.

SSC Samples	CSI $\pm \sigma$	Corrosion Degree
Sample 1	$0.12 \pm 0.07$	Grade A
Sample 2	$0.12 \pm 0.08$	Grade A
Sample 3	$0.11 \pm 0.05$	Grade A
Sample 4	$1.46 \pm 0.42$	Grade B
Sample 5	$1.71 \pm 0.34$	Grade B
Sample 6	$1.73 \pm 0.31$	Grade B
Sample 7	$1.80 \pm 0.36$	Grade B
Sample 8	$1.85 \pm 0.23$	Grade B
Sample 9	$1.93 \pm 0.26$	Grade B
Sample 10	$2.45 \pm 0.50$	Grade C
Sample 11	$2.62 \pm 0.54$	Grade C
Sample 12	$2.30 \pm 0.34$	Grade C

together with the RGB image for the twelve iron samples. Table VII summarises the mean values along with the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) for each sample and details the corrosion degree.

Level 0 does not show any sign of corrosion, being the three samples correctly defined as Grade A. Level 1 samples




Fig. 9. RGB images and CSI colour map of OC Samples.

present a colour map in accordance with the visual appearance and therefore these samples are classified as Grade B due to the increase of the average CSI.

Level 2 shows similar results to Level 1, with a slight increase in the average CSI due to the higher exposure in the saline environment. Lastly, at Level 3, the colour map begins to reveal areas of increased corrosion represented in red, correctly classifying the samples as Grade C. The spectral index identifies the different corrosion products, from black to orange corrosion. Some areas at the bottom of the samples 10, 11, and 12 that do not present corrosion are correctly recognised.

### C. Early-Stage Corrosion

To analyze early-stage corrosion, a corrosion-free sample was studied together with another sample exposed for 20 minutes in a salt spray chamber under the same conditions as the SSC Samples. Study zones were defined on both samples, as shown in Fig. 10. Zone 0 represents an uncorroded sample; Zone 1 corresponds to an area that, although exposed to the saline mist chamber, appears corrosion-free; Zone 2 shows very subtle signs of corrosion, practically invisible to the naked eye; and finally, Zone 3 exhibits slight visible corrosion. Applying the CSI to these zones, as seen in Table VIII, revealed a progressive increase in CSI values, suggesting that CSI effectively enables early corrosion detection.

To validate this observation, these zones were examined under an optical microscope. The images illustrate how the surface in Zone 0 remains completely corrosion-free (Fig. 11.a),




Fig. 10. The zones defined in the samples. On the left, sample without corrosion; on the right, sample exposed for 20 minutes in a salt spray chamber.

TABLE VIII  
CSI VALUES IN ZONES DEFINED IN FIG. 10.

Zones	CSI $\pm \sigma$
Zone 0	0.11 $\pm$ 0.02
Zone 1	0.21 $\pm$ 0.02
Zone 2	0.4 $\pm$ 0.1
Zone 3	0.5 $\pm$ 0.2

while initial signs of corrosion appear in Zone 1 (Fig. 11.b). In Zone 2, corrosion is more pronounced (Fig. 11.c), and in Zone 3, it is clearly visible (Fig. 11.d), confirming the utility of CSI for early-stage corrosion detection.




Fig. 11. Microscopic image of the areas defined in Fig.10.

#### D. Robustness of CSI

To assess the CSI robustness, the second dataset OC Samples are evaluated. Fig. 9 illustrates the deterioration of the samples over two weeks. Samples 2 and 3 show significant corrosion in the lower half areas exposed to seawater compared to Sample 1, which was only exposed to outdoor environmental conditions. However, the protected areas of Samples 2 and 3 (upper half area) remained in better condition than Sample 1. In particular, Sample 3 suffers from corrosion at the edges of the protected area due to accidental seawater infiltration.

Table IX shows a progressive increase in  $\overline{CSI}$  for Samples 1, 2, and 3 over time. In Sample 1, the CSI rose by approximately one unit during the first week and then more moderately in the second week, with an increase of around 0.3 units. This pattern suggests an expected slowdown in the corrosion process: initially, corrosion is more intense, but it later stabilizes and slows down. Additionally, the standard deviation in Sample 1 is close to zero, confirming uniform corrosion across the sample due to its consistent exposure.

For Samples 2 and 3, although there was also an increase of one unit in the first week and 0.3 units in the second, the corrosion distribution differed. The protected section of these samples remained corrosion-free, while the section exposed to saltwater experienced more intense corrosion than Sample 1 due to the harsher exposure conditions. This variability between the exposed and protected sections is reflected in the standard deviation, which is close to one in these cases.

Each sample was accurately categorized based on the CSI readings collected over multiple days, with only a minor discrepancy noted for Sample 2 on Day 7. In this particular case, the difference between the protected and exposed sections caused a slight misclassification; the corroded section showed a low corrosion level, resulting in an average CSI of less than one. This outcome aligns with expectations, as one half of the sample was purposefully exposed to corrosion while the other was shielded. Despite this, the overall CSI remained close to




Fig. 12. RGB images and CSI colour map of OC Samples.

TABLE IX  
RESULTS OF OC SAMPLES.

OC Samples	Day	$\overline{CSI} \pm \sigma$	Grade
Samples 1	Day 0	$0.16 \pm 0.04$	Grade A
	Day 7	$1.15 \pm 0.29$	Grade B
	Day 14	$1.44 \pm 0.37$	Grade B
Samples 2	Day 0	$0.16 \pm 0.04$	Grade A
	Day 7	$0.92 \pm 0.60$	Grade A
	Day 14	$1.36 \pm 0.94$	Grade B
Samples 3	Day 0	$0.18 \pm 0.08$	Grade A
	Day 7	$1.22 \pm 0.61$	Grade B
	Day 14	$1.52 \pm 0.78$	Grade B
Samples 3m	-	$-0.16 \pm 0.13$	Grade A
Samples 4	Day 210	$4.12 \pm 1.09$	Grade D

one, and the higher standard deviation effectively reflected the corrosion variation between the two halves, highlighting the spectral index's sensitivity to such environmental differences.

Sample 3m was accurately classified as noncorrosive, despite exhibiting a different spectral signature and visual appearance attributable to the oxide layer produced by hot rolling, known as mill scale (Fig. 12(a)). Sample 4 showed advanced deterioration and was classified as Grade D (Fig. 12(b)). Although small areas on the right side of the plate appeared to be free of corrosion, the exact nature of these areas requires further chemical analysis for precise determination.

While the CSI has proven effective in detecting a range of corrosion products commonly observed under saline conditions, its performance may vary with corrosion products formed under different environmental factors. The robustness of the CSI has been studied with various types of steel and iron under coastal environments, where the primary corrosive agent is the salinity of seawater. For this reason, the index includes four specific bands designed to encompass corrosion products typical of these conditions. Outside this context, the spectral index may present limitations.

#### E. CSI vs. CI: A Comparative Analysis

For the comparative analysis, Samples 1, 4, 7, and 10 were selected from the SSC Samples dataset to study one representative sample from each level.

The CSI values were normalized, with a maximum value of 4.5 and a minimum value of 0.

For the CI, a reference representative of advanced corrosion in the analysed metal was established. This reference covers a range between 500 nm and 600 nm. The calibration parameters used to adjust the values were visually determined. This procedure is defined as specified in [56].




Fig. 13. RGB image, CSI colour map and CI colour map respectively

The color maps for CSI and CI are shown in Fig. 13. CI effectively differentiates between corroded and non-corroded areas but lacks sensitivity to variations in corrosion severity. CI primarily depends on spectral slopes between 500 nm and 600 nm, which limits its ability to distinguish more advanced degradation levels. As seen in Table X, Samples 7 and 10 share similar CI values, with Sample 4 showing a close value, indicating reduced sensitivity, especially to dark corrosion products.

In contrast, CSI exhibits a more gradual response across different corrosion levels, with a steady increase in CSI values from Sample 1 to Sample 10, as shown in Table X. This trend suggests that CSI is more responsive to varying corrosion stages, including advanced ones with dark-toned corrosion products, thereby offering a finer resolution and more accurate assessment of corrosion severity.

The CSI also offers practical advantages by simplifying the analysis process. Unlike CI, which requires a continuous spectral range for accurate measurements, CSI is based on only

TABLE X  
COMPARISON OF CSI VS CI VALUES.

SSC Samples	CSI $\pm \sigma$	CI $\pm \sigma$
Sample 1	0.03 $\pm$ 0.02	0.08 $\pm$ 0.01
Sample 4	0.32 $\pm$ 0.09	0.62 $\pm$ 0.18
Sample 7	0.40 $\pm$ 0.08	0.79 $\pm$ 0.19
Sample 10	0.54 $\pm$ 0.11	0.79 $\pm$ 0.20

four specific wavelengths, which reduces the computational complexity and enables rapid analysis. Additionally, the CSI calculation relies on simple arithmetic operations, making it computationally less intensive compared to more complex formulas, such as the Angular Cosine Distance (ACD). ACD involves trigonometric functions and vector norms, which increase computational cost and processing time. This efficiency makes CSI particularly advantageous for deployment in multispectral cameras, where speed and cost are critical factors, especially in applications like field inspections, industrial monitoring, and any other application where real time is mandatory.

A key distinction between the CI and CSI indices is their dependency on reference values. CI requires specific reference values that vary according to the type of steel or iron alloy, complicating its application across different materials and limiting its versatility. In contrast, CSI consistently provides accurate results across various iron and steel alloys without needing material-specific reference values. This characteristic makes CSI a more straightforward and flexible tool for corrosion assessment, allowing reliable application across a range of alloy compositions without sacrificing precision.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The Corrosion Severity Index (CSI) has established itself as a revolutionary technique in the detection and quantification of corrosion in steel and iron materials, especially highlighting its ability to automate corrosion monitoring in coastal environments. Using VNIR and SWIR spectra analysis in both controlled and uncontrolled conditions, the CSI has proven to be superior to the CI as it uses a formula that integrates only four spectral bands. Additionally, it does not require references or pre-established parameters for each type of metal studied. Another point to highlight is the ease with which it allows for the identification of a wider range of corrosion products and provides an accurate measurement of metal deterioration.

This study emphasises the ability of CSI to be integrated into multispectral sensor systems, thus extending its applicability from the laboratory to industrial and natural environments. Avoiding the use of pushbroom HSI systems not only reduces costs, but also overcomes the limitations associated with these technologies, which are developed primarily for laboratory applications and are restricted for their use in the field. The use of multispectral sensors equipped with snapshot technology, capable of capturing spectral information in a specific range of wavelengths, can offer an optimal balance between ease of use and accuracy.

These advances promise not only to optimize preventive maintenance strategies but also to mark a significant evolution

in corrosion monitoring technologies. Facilitating infrastructure preservation and promoting more efficient and sustainable resource management. Additionally, the CSI has demonstrated the capability to detect early-stage corrosion, offering an essential advantage for proactive maintenance and extending asset life. This study has developed the CSI for coastal environments; however, future research could investigate the behavior of the CSI under other environmental conditions, where different corrosion products may emerge. Such research would provide a more comprehensive view of the limitations and versatility of the index.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge the support given by Plataforma Oceánica de Canarias (PLOCAN) in the access to corroded steel samples obtained through the EU project WATEREY (Grant agreement 851207). Additionally, we would like to extend our gratitude to the Zamakona Yards for providing the steel plates along with the shot blasting treatment.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. M. S. R. R. Benjamín Valdez, Jorge Ramirez and R. Salinas, "Corrosion assessment of infrastructure assets in coastal seas," *Journal of Marine Engineering & Technology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 124–134, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/20464177.2016.1247635>
- [2] M. G. Fontana and N. D. Greene, *Corrosion Engineering*, 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- [3] S. Caines, F. Khan, and J. Shirokoff, "Analysis of pitting corrosion on steel under insulation in marine environments," *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1466–1483, 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0950423013001873>
- [4] F. Schremp, "Corrosion Prevention for Offshore Platforms," *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, vol. 36, no. 04, pp. 605–612, 04 1984. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2118/9986-PA>
- [5] C. G. Soares, Y. Garbatov, A. Zayed, and G. Wang, "Influence of environmental factors on corrosion of ship structures in marine atmosphere," *Corrosion Science*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 2014–2026, 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010938X09002315>
- [6] H. Son, N. Hwang, C. Kim, and C. Kim, "Rapid and automated determination of rusted surface areas of a steel bridge for robotic maintenance systems," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 42, pp. 13–24, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580514000417>
- [7] *Corrosion Detection and Monitoring - A Review*, ser. NACE CORROSION, vol. All Days, 03 2000.
- [8] A. Zaki, H. K. Chai, D. G. Aggelis, and N. Alver, "Non-destructive evaluation for corrosion monitoring in concrete: A review and capability of acoustic emission technique," *Sensors*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 19069–19101, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/15/8/19069>
- [9] D. W. Brown, R. J. Connolly, M. Garvan, H. Li, V. S. Agarwala, and G. Vachtsevanos, "A novel linear polarization resistance corrosion sensing methodology for aircraft structure," in *Annual Conference of the PHM Society*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2014.
- [10] J. N. B. W. Zhu, J. L. Rose and V. S. Agarwala, "Ultrasonic guided wave ndt for hidden corrosion detection," *Research in Nondestructive Evaluation*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 205–225, 1998. [Online]. Available: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/09349849809409629>
- [11] M. Climent, M. Miró, J. Carbajo, P. Poveda, G. de Vera, and J. Ramis, "Use of non-linear ultrasonic techniques to detect cracks due to steel corrosion in reinforced concrete structures," *Materials*, vol. 12, no. 5, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/12/5/813>
- [12] H. Song, Q. Xiao, G. Wang, J. Zhang, and W. Hu, "A composite approach of electromagnetic acoustic transducer and eddy current for inner and outer corrosion defects detection," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 72, pp. 1–11, 2023.
- [13] S. Li, Y.-G. Kim, S. Jung, H.-S. Song, and S.-M. Lee, "Application of steel thin film electrical resistance sensor for in situ corrosion monitoring," *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, vol. 120, no. 2, pp. 368–377, 2007. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925400506001328>
- [14] C. Jimenez-Marcos, J. C. Mirza-Rosca, M. S. Baltatu, and P. Vizureanu, "Experimental research on new developed titanium alloys for biomedical applications," *Bioengineering*, vol. 9, no. 11, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2306-5354/9/11/686>
- [15] J. Cabrera-Peña, S. J. Brito-García, J. C. Mirza-Rosca, and G. M. Callico, "Electrical equivalent circuit model prediction of high-entropy alloy behavior in aggressive media," *Metals*, vol. 13, no. 7, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4701/13/7/1204>
- [16] Y. Zou, J. Wang, and Y. Zheng, "Electrochemical techniques for determining corrosion rate of rusted steel in seawater," *Corrosion Science*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 208–216, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010938X10004427>
- [17] M. S. B. Reddy, D. Ponnamma, K. K. Sadasivuni, S. Aich, S. Kailasa, H. Parangusan, M. Ibrahim, S. Eldeib, O. Shehata, M. Ismail *et al.*, "Sensors in advancing the capabilities of corrosion detection: A review," *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, vol. 332, p. 113086, 2021.
- [18] M. Veneranda, J. Aramendia, L. Bellot-Gurlet, P. Colomban, K. Castro, and J. M. Madariaga, "FTIR spectroscopic semi-quantification of iron phases: A new method to evaluate the protection ability index (PAI) of archaeological artefacts corrosion systems," *Corrosion Science*, vol. 133, pp. 68–77, 2018.
- [19] H. Namduri and S. Nasrazadani, "Quantitative analysis of iron oxides using fourier transform infrared spectrophotometry," *Corrosion Science*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 2493–2497, 2008.
- [20] J.-P. Labbé, J. Lédion, and F. Hui, "Infrared spectrometry for solid phase analysis: Corrosion rusts," *Corrosion Science*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1228–1234, 2008.
- [21] R. J. Thibeau, C. W. Brown, and R. H. Heidersbach, "Raman spectra of possible corrosion products of iron," *Applied Spectroscopy*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 532–535, 1978. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1366/000370278774330739>
- [22] K. Asami and K. Hashimoto, "X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy for corrosion studies," *Langmuir*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 897–904, 1987. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1021/la00078a005>
- [23] H. Kerdoncuff, W.-I. Lin, and L. J. Wacker, "Spectroscopic techniques for corrosion n detection using drones," *Danish National Metrology Institute (DFM)*, 2017.
- [24] F. N. Medeiros, G. L. Ramalho, M. P. Bento, and L. C. Medeiros, "On the evaluation of texture and color features for nondestructive corrosion detection," *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2010, pp. 1–7, 2010.
- [25] K.-W. Liao and Y.-T. Lee, "Detection of rust defects on steel bridge coatings via digital image recognition," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 71, pp. 294–306, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580516301625>
- [26] M. Khayatazad, L. De Pue, and W. De Waele, "Detection of corrosion on steel structures using automated image processing," *Developments in the Built Environment*, vol. 3, p. 100022, 2020.
- [27] A. Rahman, Z. Y. Wu, and R. Kalfarisi, "Semantic deep learning integrated with rgb feature-based rule optimization for facility surface corrosion detection and evaluation," *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, vol. 35, no. 6, p. 04021018, 2021.
- [28] D. N. Lavadiya, H. U. Sajid, R. K. Yellavajjala, and X. Sun, "Hyperspectral imaging for the elimination of visual ambiguity in corrosion detection and identification of corrosion sources," *Structural Health Monitoring*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1678–1693, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1177/14759217211041690>
- [29] S. F. Di Gennaro, P. Toscano, M. Gatti, S. Poni, A. Berton, and A. Matese, "Spectral comparison of uav-based hyper and multispectral cameras for precision viticulture," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 14, no. 3, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-4292/14/3/449>
- [30] J. Qin, K. Chao, M. S. Kim, R. Lu, and T. F. Burks, "Hyperspectral and multispectral imaging for evaluating food safety and quality," *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 157–171, 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0260877413001659>
- [31] J. M. Bioucas-Dias, A. Plaza, G. Camps-Valls, P. Scheunders, N. Nasrabadi, and J. Chanussot, "Hyperspectral remote sensing data analysis and future challenges," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 6–36, 2013.
- [32] M. Borengasser, W. S. Hungate, and R. Watkins, *Hyperspectral remote sensing: principles and applications*. CRC press, 2007.


- [33] A. S. Laliberte, M. A. Goforth, C. M. Steele, and A. Rango, "Multispectral remote sensing from unmanned aircraft: Image processing workflows and applications for rangeland environments," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 2529–2551, 2011.
- [34] M. Halicek, H. Fabelo, S. Ortega, G. M. Callico, and B. Fei, "In-vivo and ex-vivo tissue analysis through hyperspectral imaging techniques: Revealing the invisible features of cancer," *Cancers*, vol. 11, no. 6, 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/11/6/756>
- [35] R. Leon, B. Martinez-Vega, H. Fabelo, S. Ortega, V. Melian, I. Castaño, G. Carretero, P. Almeida, A. Garcia, E. Quedvedo, J. A. Hernandez, B. Clavo, and G. M. Callico, "Non-invasive skin cancer diagnosis using hyperspectral imaging for in-situ clinical support," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 6, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0383/9/6/1662>
- [36] Y.-Z. Feng and D.-W. Sun, "Application of hyperspectral imaging in food safety inspection and control: a review," *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, vol. 52, no. 11, pp. 1039–1058, 2012.
- [37] J. Qin, K. Chao, M. S. Kim, R. Lu, and T. F. Burks, "Hyperspectral and multispectral imaging for evaluating food safety and quality," *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 157–171, 2013.
- [38] P. Horstrand, R. Guerra, A. Rodríguez, M. Díaz, S. López, and J. F. López, "A uav platform based on a hyperspectral sensor for image capturing and on-board processing," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 66919–66938, 2019.
- [39] R. Guerra, P. Horstrand, A. Rodríguez, M. Díaz, A. Morales, A. Jiménez, S. López, and J. F. López, "Optimal uav movement control for farming area scanning using hyperspectral pushbroom sensors," in *2019 XXXIV Conference on Design of Circuits and Integrated Systems (DCIS)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [40] E. Simova and P. A. Rochefort, "Active spectral imaging nondestructive evaluation (sinde) camera," *CNL Nuclear Review*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 121–125, 2016.
- [41] W. G. Rowley, "Hyperspectral imaging for detection of corrosion on intermediate level nuclear waste containers," 2019.
- [42] D. N. Lavadiya, H. U. Sajid, R. K. Yellavajjala, and X. Sun, "Hyperspectral imaging for the elimination of visual ambiguity in corrosion detection and identification of corrosion sources," *Structural Health Monitoring*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1678–1693, 2022.
- [43] E. Catelli, L. L. Randeberg, H. Strandberg, B. K. Alsberg, A. Maris, and L. Vikki, "Can hyperspectral imaging be used to map corrosion products on outdoor bronze sculptures?" 2018.
- [44] M. M. Antony, C. S. Sandeep, and M. V. Matham, "High resolution probe for corrosion monitoring using hyper spectral imaging," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2317, no. 1. AIP Publishing, 2021.
- [45] M. M. Antony, C. S. S. Sandeep, H.-T. Lim, and M. Vadakke Matham, "Hyperspectral vision based probe for in situ corrosion monitoring in saline environments," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 71, pp. 1–7, 2022.
- [46] T. De Kerf, A. Gestels, K. Janssens, P. Scheunders, G. Steenackers, and S. Vanlanduit, "Quantitative detection of corrosion minerals in carbon steel using shortwave infrared hyperspectral imaging," *RSC advances*, vol. 12, no. 50, pp. 32775–32783, 2022.
- [47] T. De Kerf, G. Pipintakos, Z. Zahiri, S. Vanlanduit, and P. Scheunders, "Identification of corrosion minerals using shortwave infrared hyperspectral imaging," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 407, 2022.
- [48] P. Ma, L. Fan, and G. Chen, "Hyperspectral reflectance for determination of steel rebar corrosion and cl- concentration," *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 368, p. 130506, 2023.
- [49] N. Pettorelli, *The normalized difference vegetation index*. Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [50] P. Sibandze, P. Mhangara, and J. Odindi, "A comparison of normalised difference snow index (ndsi) and normalised difference principal component snow index (ndpsi) techniques in distinguishing snow," *South African Journal of Geomatics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 48–55, 2014.
- [51] A. Bannari, D. Morin, F. Bonn, and A. Huete, "A review of vegetation indices," *Remote sensing reviews*, vol. 13, no. 1-2, pp. 95–120, 1995.
- [52] J. Xue, B. Su *et al.*, "Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: A review of developments and applications," *Journal of sensors*, vol. 2017, 2017.
- [53] A.-K. Mahlein, T. Rumpf, P. Welke, H.-W. Dehne, L. Plümer, U. Steiner, and E.-C. Oerke, "Development of spectral indices for detecting and identifying plant diseases," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 128, pp. 21–30, 2013.
- [54] K. Mallick, B. K. Bhattacharya, and N. Patel, "Estimating volumetric surface moisture content for cropped soils using a soil wetness index based on surface temperature and ndvi," *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, vol. 149, no. 8, pp. 1327–1342, 2009.
- [55] Á. Pérez-García, P. Horstrand, and J. F. López, "Ndoi, a novel oil spectral index: Comparisons and results," in *2022 12th Workshop on Hyperspectral Imaging and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–5.
- [56] J. Zabalza, P. Murray, S. Bennett, A. J. Campbell, S. Marshall, J. Ren, Y. Yan, R. Bernard, S. Hepworth, S. Malone, N. Cockbain, D. Offin, and C. Holliday, "Hyperspectral imaging based corrosion detection in nuclear packages," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, no. 21, pp. 25607–25617, 2023.
- [57] J. Foreman, "Cosine distance, cosine similarity, angular cosine distance, angular cosine similarity," 2014.
- [58] Oxford Instruments, *X-MET7000 Series Handheld XRF Analysers*, 2013, disponible en: <https://www.bergeng.com/mm5/downloads/oxford/X-MET-General-Brochure-Nov2013.pdf>.
- [59] L. MatWeb, "Aisi 1020 steel, cold rolled," <https://www.matweb.com/search/DataSheet.aspx?MatGUID=b58ee61a3745453a9232f7864abba74f>, 2024, accessed: 2024-06-10.
- [60] —, "Astm a514 alloy steel plate," <https://www.matweb.com/search/DataSheet.aspx?MatGUID=e4faa7b4b78745bd861741a3154e9e8e>, 2024, accessed: 2024-06-10.
- [61] Swedish Standards Institute, *SIS 05 5900: Standard for the preparation of steel surfaces*, Swedish Standards Institute Std., n.d., available at <https://www.utp.edu.co/cms-utp/data/bin/UTP/web/uploads/media/contratacion/documentos/granallado-normas-preparacion-de-superficie.pdf>.
- [62] Specim Spectral Imaging, "FX Series hyperspectral cameras," <http://www.specim.fi/fx/>, [Online; accessed 06-Oct-2019].
- [63] SphereOptics, "Zenith Polymer Diffusers," <https://sphereoptics.de/en/product/zenith-polymer-diffusers/?c=79>, [Online; accessed 06-Oct-2019].
- [64] C. Oppenheimer, "Campbell, j. b. 1997. introduction to remote sensing, 2nd ed. xxix + 622 pp. london, bristol (pa): Taylor & Francis. price £19.95 (paperback). isbn 0 7484 0663 8." *Geological Magazine*, vol. 134, no. 6, p. 877–883, 1997.
- [65] A. Morales, P. Horstrand, R. Guerra, R. Leon, S. Ortega, M. Díaz, J. M. Melián, S. López, J. F. López, G. M. Callico, E. Martel, and R. Sarmiento, "Laboratory hyperspectral image acquisition system setup and validation," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 6, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/6/2159>
- [66] J. Wu, Z. Cui, V. S. Sheng, P. Zhao, D. Su, and S. Gong, "A comparative study of sift and its variants," *Measurement science review*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 122–131, 2013.
- [67] OpenCV, *Introduction to SIFT (Scale-Invariant Feature Transform)*, [https://docs.opencv.org/4.x/da/df5/tutorial\\_py\\_sift\\_intro.html](https://docs.opencv.org/4.x/da/df5/tutorial_py_sift_intro.html), 2023, disponible en: [https://docs.opencv.org/4.x/da/df5/tutorial\\_py\\_sift\\_intro.html](https://docs.opencv.org/4.x/da/df5/tutorial_py_sift_intro.html).
- [68] "Un análisis comparativo de sift, surf, kaze, akaze, orb y brisk," in *Conferencia Internacional 2018 sobre Tecnologías de Computación, Matemáticas e Ingeniería (iCoMET)*, 2018.
- [69] A. Koz, A. Çalişkan, and A. A. Alatan, "Registration of mwir-lwir band hyperspectral images," in *2016 8th Workshop on Hyperspectral Image and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–2.
- [70] J. Joglekar, S. S. Gedam, and B. Krishna Mohan, "Image matching using sift features and relaxation labeling technique—a constraint initializing method for dense stereo matching," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 5643–5652, 2014.
- [71] Z. Hossein-Nejad and M. Nasri, "An adaptive image registration method based on sift features and ransac transform," *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 62, pp. 524–537, 2017.
- [72] F. Grillini, J.-B. Thomas, and S. George, "Hyperspectral vnir-swir image registration: Do not throw away those overlapping low snr bands," in *2022 12th Workshop on Hyperspectral Imaging and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–5.



**Emma Hernández-Suárez** is a researcher at the Institute of Applied Microelectronics, IUMA, at the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, in Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. She was born in Las Palmas de Gran Canaria in 1997. She earned her bachelor's degree in industrial and automatic electronic engineering from the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (ULPGC) in Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, and her master's degree in control and robotics from the Polytechnic University of Madrid (UPM) in Madrid, Spain, in 2020 and 2021, respectively. Currently, she is working on her Ph.D. in telecommunications technologies at the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (ULPGC), in Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. Her research interests include image processing, uncrewed aerial vehicles (UAVs), hyperspectral technology, thermography technologies, and their applications. In 2023, she conducted a research stay in thermographic image processing at the University of Oviedo, Spain.



**Julia Mirza-Rosca** is Full Professor at the university of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, since 1995 and also is Honorary Professor of Transilvania University of Brasov, Romania. She is the Head of Nanomaterials and Corrosion Research group (NanCorr) and the Director of IPCC (Research and Protection in Corrosion – Canarias) from Mechanical Engineering Department of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria University. She collaborates with Federal Institute of Materials Research and Testing (Germany) and Rensselaer Polytechnic institute, Troy, New York (USA). Her scientific interests cover, mainly, the field of electrochemical processes, corrosion, biomaterials and nanomaterials. She is specialized in corrosion of stainless steels, titanium and its alloys, dental materials and high-entropy alloys. She have published more than 120 articles and for her job was awarded with: the collective prize "Ilie Murgulescu" of the Romanian Academy of Science, the prize for the best directed End-of-Degree project and the American Medal of Honor for work carried out in the field of Biomaterials.



**Adrián Rodríguez-Molina** was born in Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, in 1998. He received the Industrial Electronics and Automatic Engineering degree from the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, in 2020 and the Master's degree in applied electronics and telecommunications from the Institute for Applied Microelectronics, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, in 2021. He is currently working toward a Ph.D. in Telecommunication Technologies and Computational Engineering, developing a multi-purpose multispectral camera with built-in artificial intelligence.



**Jose López** received an M.S. degree in physics (specialising in electronics) from the University of Seville, Sevilla, Spain, in 1989, and a PhD degree from the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (ULPGC), Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, in 1994, being awarded by this University for his research in the field of High-Speed Integrated Systems. He was with Thomson Composants Microondes, Orsay, France, in 1992. In 1995, he was with the Center for Broadband Telecommunications at the Technical University of Denmark (DTU), Lyngby, Denmark. In 1996, 1997, 1999, and 2000, he was a Visiting Researcher with the Edith Cowan University (ECU), Perth, Western Australia. He has conducted investigations at the Institute for Applied Microelectronics (IUMA), where he has acted as Deputy Director since 2009 to 2019. He is currently a full professor at the Department of Electronics at the ULPGC. He has authored/coauthored around 150 papers in national and international journals and conferences. His current research interests include image processing, UAVs, hyperspectral technology, and their applications. Dr López has been actively enrolled in more than 50 research projects funded by the European Community, Spanish Government, and international private industries in Europe, the USA, and Australia.



**Ámbar Pérez-García** (Student member, IEEE) is with the Institute for Applied Microelectronics, IUMA, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. She was born in Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, in 1997. She received a B.Sc. in physics from the University of La Laguna (ULL), La Laguna, Spain and a M.Sc. in remote sensing from the University of Valencia (UV), Valencia, Spain, in 2019 and 2020, respectively. She also received a M.Sc. degree in education from the University of La Rioja (UNIR), La Rioja, Spain, in 2021. She is working toward a Ph.D. in telecommunications technologies at the University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (ULPGC), Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain. Her research interests include remote sensing, hyperspectral image processing, artificial intelligence and the detection of marine litter. In 2023, she did a research stay on neural network segmentation at HYPERCOMP, University of Extremadura, Spain. She was also at HWM, Wageningen University & Research, The Netherlands, spectrally characterising plastics.